



Twin Falls Planning & Zoning Commission Agenda

Tuesday, March 9, 2021, 6:00 PM

203 Main Avenue East
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Council Chambers

Members -

City Limits: Danielle Titus, Chairperson; Craig Kelley, Vice-Chair; Ed Musser; Carolyn Bolton; Curtis Hansen; Marilu Perez

Area of Impact: David Detweiler

1) Call In Instructions

- a) **INFORMATIONAL:** To call in for Public Comment on the items on this agenda, please call 1-208-939-6718, Conference ID: 750 517 907#. Calls must be received between 6:00 pm - 6:10 pm and will be put into the queue to speak during the Public Hearing portion of the meeting.

By:

2) Confirmation of Quorum/Call Meeting to Order

3) Consent Calendar

- a) **ACTION ITEM:** Approval of Minutes from the following meeting: February 23, 2021

4) Items of Consideration - None

5) Public Hearings

- a) **ACTION ITEM:** Request for a Zoning Title Amendment to Title 10 Chapters 2, 4, and 7. To add a definition of Indoor Shooting Range, add the land use as a Special Use in the C1 (Commercial Highway), M1 (Light Manufacturing) and M2 (Heavy Manufacturing) Zoning Districts, and create supplementary regulations c/o James, Rhonda, Glenn and Wade Good. (PZ21-0003)

By: Ian Zollinger, City Planner

6) General Training

- a) Title 10 Code Rewrite

7) Upcoming Meeting(s)

- a) Tuesday, March 23, 2021

8) Adjournment

Any person(s) needing special accommodations to participate in the above-noticed meeting could contact Kelli Ebersole (208) 735-7267 at least two working days before the meeting. Si desea esta información en español, llame Leila Sanchez (208) 735-7287.

CITY OF TWIN FALLS

PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

Public Hearing Procedures for Zoning Requests

1. Prior to opening the first Public Hearing of the session, the Mayor or Chair shall review the public hearing procedures.
 2. Individuals wishing to testify or speak before the City Council or Planning & Zoning Commission shall wait to be recognized by the Mayor or Chair, approach the microphone/podium, state their name, and then proceed with their comments. Following their statements, they shall write their name and address on the record sheet(s) provided by the staff. The staff shall make an audio recording of the Public Hearing.
 3. A City Staff Report shall summarize the application and history of the request.
 4. The Applicant, or the spokesperson for the Applicant, will make a presentation on the application/request (request). No changes to the request may be made by the applicant after the publication of the Notice of Public Hearing. The presentation should include the following:
 - **A complete explanation and description of the request.**
 - **Why the request is being made.**
 - **Location of the Property.**
 - **Impacts on the surrounding properties and efforts to mitigate those impacts.**
 5. Applicant is limited to 15 minutes, unless a written request for additional time is received, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing, and granted by the Mayor or Chairman.
 6. The City Council or Planning & Zoning Commission may ask questions of staff or the applicant pertaining to the request.
 7. The general public will then be given the opportunity to provide their testimony regarding the request. The Mayor or Chair may limit public testimony to no less than two (2) minutes per person.
 - **Individuals are not permitted to give their time to other speakers.**
 - **However, five (5) or more individuals that received written notice of the public hearing may appoint, by written petition, a spokesperson. The written petition must be received at least 72 hours prior to the hearing and must be granted by the Mayor or Chair. The spokesperson shall be limited to 15 minutes.**
 - **Written comments, including e-mail, received by 12:00 p.m. on the date of the hearing shall be either read into the record or displayed to the public on the overhead projector.**
 8. Following the Public Testimony, the applicant is permitted five (5) minutes to respond.
 9. Following the Public Testimony and Applicant's response, Council or Commission members, as recognized by the Mayor or Chair, shall be allowed to question the Applicant, Staff or anyone who has testified. Responses shall be limited to answering the questions asked. The Mayor or Chair may limit the time permitted for the answer.
 10. The Mayor or Chair shall close the Public Hearing. The City Council or Planning & Zoning Commission shall deliberate on the request. Deliberations and decisions shall be based upon the information and testimony provided during the Public Hearing. Once the Public Hearing is closed, additional testimony from the staff, applicant or public is not allowed. Legal or procedural questions may be directed to the City Attorney.
- * Any person not conforming to the above rules may be prohibited from speaking. Persons refusing to comply with such prohibitions may be asked to leave the hearing and, thereafter removed from the room by order of the Mayor or Chairman.



Twin Falls Planning & Zoning Commission Minutes

Tuesday, February 23, 2021, 6:00 PM

203 Main Avenue East
Twin Falls, ID 83301

COUNCIL CHAMBERS

Members -

City Limits: Danielle Titus, Chairperson; Craig Kelley, Vice-Chair; Ed Musser; Carolyn Bolton; Curtis Hansen; Marilu Perez

Area of Impact: David Detweiler

1) Call In Instructions

- a) To call in for Public Comment on the items on this agenda, please call 1-208-939-6718, Conference ID: 820 430 246#. Calls must be received between 6:00 pm - 6:10 pm and will be put into the queue to speak during the Public Hearing portion of the meeting.

2) Confirmation of Quorum/Call Meeting to Order

Chairperson Titus called the meeting to order at 6:01 pm
A quorum was present.

Members Present: Titus, Kelley, Musser, Bolton, Hansen, Perez, Detweiler

Staff Present: Spendlove, Hart, Zollinger, Ebersole, Hafer, Vitek

3) Consent Calendar

Commissioner Kelley made a motion to approve the consent calendar, as presented.
Commissioner Detweiler seconded the motion.

Consent Calendar approved by a vote of 4-0-2

- a) Approval of Minutes from the following meeting: February 9, 2021

4) Items of Consideration

- a) Preliminary Presentation for a request for a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment for approximately 10 (+/-) acres from R-4 & R-6 CRO to R-4 & R-6 CRO ZDA for property located at the 500 block of Canyon Falls Drive c/o Gerald Martens on behalf of Concept Investors, LLC. (PZ21-0008)

Staff Presentation:

Senior Planner Hart gave the Preliminary Presentation for a request for a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment for approximately 10 (+/-) acres from R-4 & R-6 CRO to R-4 & R-6 CRO ZDA for property located at the 500 block of Canyon Falls Drive c/o Gerald Martens on behalf of Concept Investors, LLC. (PZ21-0008)

Per Twin Falls City Code 10-6-1.7(B) Approval of a ZDA sub-district requires a preliminary presentation to the Planning and Zoning Commission for a review of the proposed changes prior to a public hearing. The Commission is allowed and may grant the public the ability to ask questions and give suggestions to the applicant about the proposed project during the preliminary presentation.

A public hearing shall be held before the Commission for a recommendation to the Council to amend the zoning district and the zoning map, amend with recommendations.

The request is to allow the parcel to be developed as an attached and detached single family residential subdivision. Staff will present a full analysis of the request at the public hearing-scheduled for Tuesday, March 23, 2021.

Staff makes no recommendation at this time. Staff will present a full analysis of the request at the public hearing-scheduled for Tuesday, March 23, 2021.

Applicant Presentation:

Gerald Martens, representing applicant, presented the Preliminary Presentation for a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment for approximately 10 (+/-) acres from R-4 & R-6 CRO to R-4 & R-6 CRO ZDA for property located at the 500 block of Canyon Falls Drive. He stated the change is for downsizing density to the R4 and R6 zones. He stated the plan is for no larger housing than two (2) unit townhomes. He stated they will be built as individually owned single family dwelling/zero lot line townhomes.

PZ/Questions & Comments: none

5) Public Hearings

- a) Request for a recommendation to City Council of an Annexation with a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment from R2 CRO to RM CRO ZDA for 11.08 (+/-) acres located at the southwest corner of Federation Road and Washington Street North c/o EHM Engineers, Inc. on behalf of Hepworth Family Landholdings, LLC. (PZ21-0004)

Staff Presentation:

PZ Director Spendlove presented the request for a recommendation to City Council of

an Annexation with a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment from R2 CRO to RM CRO ZDA for 11.08 (+/-) acres located at the southwest corner of Federation Road and Washington Street North c/o EHM Engineers, Inc. on behalf of Hepworth Family Landholdings, LLC. (PZ21-0004)

The applicant is required to present a preliminary review to the Planning and Zoning Commission in which the commission may give suggestions and ask questions of the applicant about the proposed ZDA. A public hearing shall then be held before the Commission for a recommendation to City Council. The City Council may then approve the request as presented, approve with conditions, or deny the request.

The property is currently zoned R-2 CRO, Residential Single Household or Duplex District with the Canyon Rim Overlay District. The property is undeveloped and is located south of the Sport Shooting Range along Federation Road.

The Commission held a public hearing regarding a rezone of this property previously in November 2020. Subsequently, the City Council held a public hearing on December 14, 2020. The City Council ultimately denied the request. The written denial by the Council referenced the number of proposed dwelling units and their correlation to traffic increases in the area.

The applicant has proposed a handful of exceptions to the RM District as part of their ZDA Document. These changes focus on the following categories: Land Uses, Front Yard Setbacks, Arterial Landscaping, and Other Development Criteria. The applicant is not seeking additional units beyond what is permitted within the base RM Zoning District.

The changes sought through the ZDA are focused primarily on internal elements. Building Setbacks from existing neighboring land uses, maximum heights, and densities, will follow the base RM Zoning Code.

Staff believes the layout of this project makes for a gradual transition between existing competing land uses: Outdoor Sport Shooting Range (aka Gun Club) to the North, existing single family residential to the West/South, and Commercial Retail to the East.

The potential detrimental impacts on surrounding areas will be largely mitigated with the screening wall, solitary access to these properties from Arterial and Collector Roadways, and the fine grain transition from Single Family Detached Homes towards the West, to Duplexes within the development, and then to 4-plexes towards the Arterial Roadway (Washington St North).

The Planning and Zoning Commission may recommend approval of the Zoning request as presented, recommend approval with conditions, or recommend denial.

Staff recommends the following conditions:

1. Subject to site plan amendments as required by Building, Engineering, Fire and Zoning Officials to comply with applicable City Codes and Standards.

Applicant Presentation:

Tim Vawser, EHM Engineers, Inc, representing applicant, presented the request for a recommendation to City Council of an Annexation with a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment from R2 CRO to RM CRO ZDA for 11.08 (+/-) acres located at the southwest corner of Federation Road and Washington Street North.

Mr. Vawser gave a background on this application. He stated the changes suggested by the Planning & Zoning Commission and City Council were taken into consideration and stated they worked with incorporating surrounding neighbors.

He showed where the crosswalk to the Canyon Rim Trail along with the addition to the trail were presented as part of the exhibit. He stated there are changes to the density and setbacks as requested, with the ZDA verbiage conforming to the RM zone. He showed the proposed layout of the development with duplexes against the existing residential neighborhood to the south and west, with fourplexes in the interior of the development and against the commercial. He stated the accesses to the development will lead on to Federation Road and Washington Street North, with no accesses into existing residential neighborhoods.

PZ/Questions & Comments: none

Public Hearing: Opened

- Citizen Randy Blair is opposed to this request. He stated he does not believe there is a need for more businesses in the area. He stated he likes the changes to the layout but has concern for current residential view. He stated his concern for his property value, the fourplexes, and more businesses in the area.
- Citizen Wendy Kerr is opposed to this request. She stated she would like the zoning to stay R2. She stated she does not believe this fits in Comprehensive Plan. She stated her concern for public safety and overcrowding.
- Citizen Chuck Brockway is opposed to this request. He stated his concern of the density and does believe this is not appropriate or compatible. He does not believe this preserves canyon rim experience on the Comprehensive Plan.
- Citizen Ronald Kerr is opposed to this request. He stated his concern with a potential traffic issue. He stated he believes the parcel should be all single family residential.
- Citizen Marie Tanner is opposed to this request. She stated this development is too much for one space.
- Applicant Stan Buckley gave a closing statement. He stated there is a shortage of housing in Twin Falls and the Magic Valley. He stated this development is not a

typical fourplex complex. All of the units will be built with separate entrances and courtyards, with no one lives above or below. He referred to an article regarding multifamily dwellings and property value, and that the notion of property values would diminish, when the opposite is true. He stated the studies show no adverse effect on single family homes. He gave some statistics from the article. He addressed the residents' concerns. He stated he believes this development will be a nice amenity to community.

- Mr. Vawser gave a closing statement. He addressed the citizens' concerns. He stated there is a conversation with the property owner to the west regarding obtaining land to fix the road to address traffic and bottleneck issues.
- Commissioner Hansen asked if the development was zoned all R2, would duplexes be included as allowed use.
- PZ Director Spendlove stated because as it sits now, nothing could be developed on the property as currently zoned, as there is already a residence on the property and not on city services. If property is annexed, duplexes are outright permitted in R2 zone.
- Commissioner Kelley asked the density in the R2 zone.
- PZ Director Spendlove stated, with private roads, there can 45-48 single family dwelling, and close to 60-70 with all duplexes.
- Commissioner Perez asked if there are business interested in this development.
- Mr. Vawser stated there is no interest at this time and are looking for good fit to the neighborhood. He stated there are strict guidelines in ZDA on what would be allowed.
- Chairperson Titus asked for clarification on the recommendations made tonight to City Council.
- PZ Director Spendlove clarified the commission's decision tonight as only recommending the proposed zoning with the ZDA. He explained how the agreement is enforced.

Public Hearing: Closed

Discussions Followed:

- Commissioner Bolton stated she agrees there is a shortage of housing. She stated she does not feel this is the right development for this parcel and would rather see this parcel stayed zoned as R2. She stated she does not feel this is compatible with the west side of Washington St. and has a concern with traffic safety.
- Commissioner Hansen stated Town Neighborhood allows from R2 to R6 zoning. If zoned all R2, there could be a potential of 60-80 duplexes. He stated this is a good transition, with commercial being the buffer as same as across Washington St. He believes owner can sell the property as they want and develop as choose. He stated he believes the application has listened to recommendations by City Council and the Planning & Zoning Commission and have done good with it. He believes this is a good ZDA overall.

- Commissioner Detweiler stated he appreciates the public input. He agreed with Commissioner Hansen if all turn to duplexes, there would be the same amount of traffic. He stated this is a good design. He stated he likes the changes made to the plans. He stated they addressed concern of 4plexes against residential, with changes them to duplexes. He stated he feels this is a good transition with commercial will work with what is already there.
- Commissioner Musser he likes the mixed-use concept and the response to the feedback from the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council. He stated he is in support of this application.
- Commissioner Perez stated there needs to be a transition from the gun range. She stated this is a nice transition to residential. She stated she believes they did a good job with concerns from the commission.
- Commissioner Kelley stated the commercial is good transition from gun club. He stated he does not believe if all R2 that the density would be less. He stated he feels this follows the Comprehensive Plan.
- Chairperson Titus stated the density concern is addressed. She believes the applicant is trying to work to address traffic concerns. She stated they are not asking for additional height and maintaining current setback along existing residential per code. She believes this conforms to the Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Map shows as town neighborhood. She stated she likes the transition and is a good infill project.

MOTION: Craig Kelley moved to recommend approval to City Council of the request of an Annexation with a Zoning District Change and Zoning Map Amendment from R2 CRO to RM CRO ZDA for 11.08 (+/-) acres located at the southwest corner of Federation Road and Washington Street North c/o EHM Engineers, Inc. on behalf of Hepworth Family Landholdings, LLC. (PZ21-0004), as presented with staff recommendations. Commissioner Musser seconded the motion.

Motion Approved by a vote of 6-1.

6) Upcoming Meeting(s)

- a) Tuesday, March 9, 2021

7) Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 07:11 PM

Kelli Ebersole, Administrative Assistant



Date: Tuesday, March 9, 2021
To: Planning and Zoning Commission
From: Ian Zollinger, City Planner, City Planner

ACTION ITEM

Request:

Request for a Zoning Title Amendment to Title 10 Chapters 2, 4, and 7. To add a definition of Indoor Shooting Range, add the land use as a Special Use in the C1 (Commercial Highway), M1 (Light Manufacturing) and M2 (Heavy Manufacturing) Zoning Districts, and create supplementary regulations c/o James, Rhonda, Glenn and Wade Good. (PZ21-0003)

Time Estimate:

15 Minutes for presentation from staff and applicant.

Background:

This application is a request to amend Title 10 Zoning and Subdivision Regulations to add a definition of “Sports Shooting Range – Indoor”, provide for this land use by Special Use Permit in the C1, M1, and M2 Zoning Districts, as well as add supplementary regulations for the newly defined land use.

Approval Process:

Zoning Title Amendments, which consist of text or map revisions, require a public hearing before the Planning & Zoning Commission. Following the public hearing, the Commission may forward the amendment with its recommendation to the City Council. Any material change by the Commission from what was presented during the public hearing will require an additional hearing prior to the Commission forwarding its recommendation to City Council.

After City Council receives a recommendation from the Commission, a public hearing shall be scheduled where the City Council may grant, grant with changes, or deny the Zoning Title Amendment. In any event the City Council shall specify the regulations and standards used in evaluating the Zoning Title Amendment, and the reasons for approval or denial.

In the event the City Council shall approve an amendment, such amendment shall thereafter be made a part of the Title upon the passage and publication of an ordinance.

Budget Impact:

N/A

Regulatory Impact:

The following sections of code are requested to be amended:

10-2-1 (Definitions), 10-4-8.2(B)12 (C1, Commercial Highway District), 10-4-9.2(B) 14 (M1 Light Manufacturing District), 10-4-10.2(B)12 (M2 Heavy Manufacturing), and, 10-7(Zoning Supplementary Regulations).

History:

Current Zoning Code only allows “Gun Clubs” as a Special Use in the M2 Zoning District and does not differentiate between indoor and outdoor Gun Clubs.

Analysis:

The request consists of adding the definition of Sports Shooting Range, adding Sports Shooting Range-Indoor as a Special Use within the C1,M1, and M2 Zoning Districts and creating supplementary regulations that would be required for a special use permit for a Sports Shooting Range – Indoor.

The supplementary regulations consist of:

- 1) restricting the use to a certain distance away from residential zoning districts.
- 2) requiring the range be designed in a way that will not allow projectiles to penetrate walls, floors, or the ceiling.
- 3) requiring staff to always be present while the range is in use.
- 4) requiring a site plan which will include safety plan and liability insurance.
- 5) requiring that a sound engineer demonstrate how the proposed use will address the impact of noise and that any adverse impacts be mitigated.
- 6) prohibiting sale and use of alcohol and receiving permission from the City Council in accordance with City Code.

Please refer to Exhibit A for the full text of the Zoning Title Amendment.

Conclusion:

The Planning and Zoning Commission is tasked with making a recommendation to the City Council and may recommend approval as presented, with conditions, deny, or table the item for more information.

Attachments:

1. PZ21-0003 Indoor Shooting Range - ZTA (Draft)
2. Exhibit A



Comprehensive Staff Report

To: Planning & Zoning Commission

Request: Request for a Zoning Title Amendment to Title 10 Chapters 2, 4, and 7. To add a definition of Indoor Shooting Range, add the land use as a Special Use in the C1 (Commercial Highway), M1 (Light Manufacturing) and M2 (Heavy Manufacturing) Zoning Districts, and create supplementary regulations.

Application: PZ21-0003

Applicant & Representative:

James, Rhonda, Glenn and Wade Good
1308 Mustang Road
Helena, MT 59602

P&Z Public Hearing: March 9th, 2021

Background

This application is a request for a Zoning Title Amendment to amend Title 10 Zoning and Subdivision Regulations to add "Sports Shooting Range – Indoor" as a Special Use in the C1, M1, and M2 Zoning Districts as well as adding supplementary regulations for the use of "Sports Shooting Range – Indoor" and a definition of the use.

The purpose of the Zoning Title Amendment is to allow for the use of an indoor shooting range in a greater number of zoning districts so long as a Special Use Permit is obtained.

History

Current Zoning Code only allows "Gun Clubs" as a Special Use in the M2 Zoning District and does not differentiate between indoor and outdoor Gun Clubs.

Approval Process

Zoning Title Amendments, which consist of text or map revisions, require a public hearing before the Planning & Zoning Commission. Following the public hearing, the Commission may forward the amendment with its recommendation to the City Council. Any material change by the Commission from what was presented during the public hearing

will require an additional hearing prior to the Commission forwarding its recommendation to City Council.

After City Council receives a recommendation from the Commission, a public hearing shall be scheduled where the City Council may grant, grant with changes, or deny the Zoning Title Amendment. In any event the City Council shall specify the regulations and standards used in evaluating the Zoning Title Amendment, and the reasons for approval or denial.

In the event the City Council shall approve an amendment, such amendment shall thereafter be made a part of the Title upon the passage and publication of an ordinance.

Applicable Regulations or Codes

The following sections of code are requested to be amended:

10-2-1 (Definitions), 10-4-8.2(B)12 (C1, Commercial Highway District), 10-4-9.2(B) 14 (M1 Light Manufacturing District), 10-4-10.2(B)12 (M2 Heavy Manufacturing), and, 10-7(Zoning Supplementary Regulations)

Analysis:

The request consists of adding the definition of Sports Shooting Range,

adding Sports Shooting Range-Indoor as a Special Use within the C1, M1, and M2 Zoning Districts and creating supplementary regulations that would be required for a special use permit for a Sports Shooting Range – Indoor.

The supplementary regulations consist of:

- 1) restricting the use to a certain distance away from residential zoning districts.
- 2) requiring the range be designed in a way that will not allow projectiles to penetrate walls, floors, or the ceiling.
- 3) requiring staff to always be present while the range is in use.
- 4) requiring a site plan which will include safety plan and liability insurance.
- 5) requiring that a sound engineer demonstrate how the proposed use will address the impact of noise and that any adverse impacts be mitigated.
- 6) prohibiting sale and use of alcohol and receiving permission from the City Council in accordance with City Code.

Please refer to Exhibit A for the full text of the Zoning Title Amendment.

Potential Impacts:

The potential detrimental impacts on surrounding areas will be largely mitigated through the conditions added to the Zoning Supplementary Conditions that would be required with a Special Use Permit for a Sports Shooting Range – Indoor.

Conclusion

The Planning and Zoning Commission is tasked with making a recommendation to the City Council and may recommend approval as presented, with conditions, deny, or table the item for more information.

Attachments

1. Narrative
2. Zoning Map
3. Exhibit A

Exhibit A

Adding the following to definitions.

10-2-1

“Sports Shooting Range: Shooting Range as defined by Idaho State Statute 55-2604, or as amended, An area designed and operated for the use of rifles, shotguns, pistols, silhouettes, skeet, trap, black powder, archery, or an other similar sport shooting”

Adding the following to Land Uses:

10-4-8 (C1, Commercial Highway District)

10 -4- 8.2(B) Special Uses

12. Sports Facilities:

“e. Sports Shooting Range- Indoor.”

10-4-9 (M1 Light Manufacturing District)

10-4-9(B) Special Uses

14. Sports Facilities:

“e. Sports Shooting Range- Indoor”

10-4-10 (M2 Heavy Manufacturing District)

10-4-10(B) Special Uses

12. Sports Facilities:

“h. Sports Shooting Range – Indoor

Adding the following regulations

10-7 (Zoning Supplementary Regulations)

“10-7-20 Sports Shooting Range- Indoor”

(A) The following shall be provided when applying for a Special Use Permit for a Sports Shooting Range – Indoor:

1. Site Location: A shooting range shall be located: not less than 500 lineal feet from any property in an existing residential district, with the exception of parks, open space, stormwater management areas, or similar lands reserved for uses that do not include dwellings

2. Indoor Range Design: Indoor ranges must be designed so projectiles cannot penetrate walls, floor or ceiling, and ricochets or back splatter cannot harm range users. Considerations should be made for cleaning of all surfaces and handling of hazardous wastes.

3. Staffing: Sport Shooting range staff must always be present on the subject property when the shooting range is in use.

4. Shooting range operation plan: Each range shall provide an operation plan. A copy of the operation plan shall be filed with an application for a conditional Use Permit. The operational plan shall include:

a. Site Plan

b. Building Plan & Elevations

c. Safety Plan

d. Outside Security Plan

e. Copy of Liability insurance

g. Other plans and /or information, as may be reasonably requested by staff to verify compliance with this ordinance or unique conditions that apply to the site.

5. The application shall include a sound study prepared by a licensed sound engineer that demonstrates how the proposed use will address the impact of noise on adjoining uses. Any adverse effects shall be mitigated through setbacks, buffers, sound mitigation and/or hours of operation.

6. Alcohol Sales Prohibited: Indoor gun ranges shall not sell or dispense alcoholic beverages, nor shall they be in a building which contains a business that sells or dispenses alcoholic beverages. Alcoholic beverages are not allowed on the premises of the indoor Gun Range at any time.

7. Receive Permission from the City Council for the ability to discharge firearms within city limits in accordance with City Code 6-2-1-D."